Daniel

**Chapter 11**

**And in the first year of Darius the Mede, I took my stand to support and protect him.)**

**11:1** *Darius the Mede.* See note on 5:31. (CSB)

The divine man then goes on to tell Daniel that he “stood up to strengthen and protect” Michael in his battle already in “the first year of Darius the Mede” (11:1). This is a direct reference to 5:31 and the fall of Babylon, which was conquered by Darius/Cyrus. The heavenly war with the prince of Persia began at that time. As soon as God moved Darius/Cyrus to fulfill his gracious promise to return his people to Jerusalem and rebuild the temple, the devil and his angels began a war to impede God’s plan. The heavenly war had been raging for almost three years at the time Daniel received this final vision. (CC)

*The Kings of the South and the North*

**“Now then, I tell you the truth: Three more kings will appear in Persia, and then a fourth, who will be far richer than all the others. When he has gained power by his wealth, he will stir up everyone against the kingdom of Greece.  3 Then a mighty king will appear, who will rule with great power and do as he pleases.  4 After he has appeared, his empire will be broken up and parceled out toward the four winds of heaven. It will not go to his descendants, nor will it have the power he exercised, because his empire will be uprooted and given to others. 5 “The king of the South will become strong, but one of his commanders will become even stronger than he and will rule his own kingdom with great power.  6 After some years, they will become allies. The daughter of the king of the South will go to the king of the North to make an alliance, but she will not retain her power, and he and his power will not last. In those days she will be handed over, together with her royal escort and her father and the one who supported her. 7 “One from her family line will arise to take her place. He will attack the forces of the king of the North and enter his fortress; he will fight against them and be victorious.  8 He will also seize their gods, their metal images and their valuable articles of silver and gold and carry them off to Egypt. For some years he will leave the king of the North alone.  9 Then the king of the North will invade the realm of the king of the South but will retreat to his own country.  10 His sons will prepare for war and assemble a great army, which will sweep on like an irresistible flood and carry the battle as far as his fortress. 11 “Then the king of the South will march out in a rage and fight against the king of the North, who will raise a large army, but it will be defeated.  12 When the army is carried off, the king of the South will be filled with pride and will slaughter many thousands, yet he will not remain triumphant.  13 For the king of the North will muster another army, larger than the first; and after several years, he will advance with a huge army fully equipped. 14 “In those times many will rise against the king of the South. The violent men among your own people will rebel in fulfillment of the vision, but without success.  15 Then the king of the North will come and build up siege ramps and will capture a fortified city. The forces of the South will be powerless to resist; even their best troops will not have the strength to stand.  16 The invader will do as he pleases; no one will be able to stand against him. He will establish himself in the Beautiful Land and will have the power to destroy it.  17 He will determine to come with the might of his entire kingdom and will make an alliance with the king of the South. And he will give him a daughter in marriage in order to overthrow the kingdom, but his plans will not succeed or help him.  18 Then he will turn his attention to the coastlands and will take many of them, but a commander will put an end to his insolence and will turn his insolence back upon him.  19 After this, he will turn back toward the fortresses of his own country but will stumble and fall, to be seen no more. 20 “His successor will send out a tax collector to maintain the royal splendor. In a few years, however, he will be destroyed, yet not in anger or in battle. 21 “He will be succeeded by a contemptible person who has not been given the honor of royalty. He will invade the kingdom when its people feel secure, and he will seize it through intrigue.  22 Then an overwhelming army will be swept away before him; both it and a prince of the covenant will be destroyed.  23 After coming to an agreement with him, he will act deceitfully, and with only a few people he will rise to power.  24 When the richest provinces feel secure, he will invade them and will achieve what neither his fathers nor his forefathers did. He will distribute plunder, loot and wealth among his followers. He will plot the overthrow of fortresses—but only for a time. 25 “With a large army he will stir up his strength and courage against the king of the South. The king of the South will wage war with a large and very powerful army, but he will not be able to stand because of the plots devised against him.  26 Those who eat from the king’s provisions will try to destroy him; his army will be swept away, and many will fall in battle.  27 The two kings, with their hearts bent on evil, will sit at the same table and lie to each other, but to no avail, because an end will still come at the appointed time.  28 The king of the North will return to his own country with great wealth, but his heart will be set against the holy covenant. He will take action against it and then return to his own country. 29 “At the appointed time he will invade the South again, but this time the outcome will be different from what it was before.  30 Ships of the western coastlands will oppose him, and he will lose heart. Then he will turn back and vent his fury against the holy covenant. He will return and show favor to those who forsake the holy covenant. 31 “His armed forces will rise up to desecrate the temple fortress and will abolish the daily sacrifice. Then they will set up the abomination that causes desolation.  32 With flattery he will corrupt those who have violated the covenant, but the people who know their God will firmly resist him. 33 “Those who are wise will instruct many, though for a time they will fall by the sword or be burned or captured or plundered.  34 When they fall, they will receive a little help, and many who are not sincere will join them.  35 Some of the wise will stumble, so that they may be refined, purified and made spotless until the time of the end, for it will still come at the appointed time.**

**11:2** *Three more kings.* Cambyses (530–522 b.c.), Pseudo-Smerdis or Gaumata (522) and Darius I (522–486). (CSB)

 *fourth.* Xerxes I (486–465), who attempted to conquer Greece in 480 (see note on Est 1:1). (CSB)

The fourth king was Xerxes (486–465), who by all accounts was very rich. He is called Ahasuerus in the book of Esther. The prophecy given Daniel states that Xerxes “will awaken everyone, [especially] the kingdom of Greece” (11:2), which is a reference to him arousing and enlisting people from all parts of his vast kingdom to join his army and invade Greece. However, Xerxes was unable to conquer Greece because the various Greek city-states managed to forge an effective coalition and repel his attacks. It has sometimes been noted that there was no “kingdom of Greece” in Xerxes’ day. However, the point of the revelation is that Xerxes will “awaken … [especially] the kingdom of Greece.” That is, his invasion caused the Greeks to realize that they could be a unified force. This eventually led to a united Greece under Philip of Macedon, whose son was Alexander the Great, whose conquests made Greece a world empire. (CC)

**11:3** *mighty king.* Alexander the Great (336–323). (CSB)

**11:4** *four winds.* See note on 7:4–7 (“four heads”). (CSB)

The demise of Alexander’s kingdom was also swift. He began his conquests in 334 BC and died in 323 BC at the age of thirty-two. The fourfold division of his kingdom matches the description of it in 7:6 and 8:8, 22. “The four winds of heaven” (11:4) signify the four cardinal compass points. Although the four parts into which the Greek Empire was divided did not exactly correspond to the compass points, that is not the intent of the text here. Instead, it emphasizes the breakup of the kingdom into four parts. However, the use of “the four winds” will enable two of the kingdoms resulting from this split to be referred to as “north” and “south.” These two were in fact geographically northern and southern (in Syria-Mesopotamia and in Egypt, respectively) in relation to each other. (CC)

 NOR WILL IT HAVE THE POWER – The last part of 11:4 makes two points. First, the kingdoms that succeed Alexander’s will not be as vast as his. Second, his descendants will not rule. Alexander’s deranged half-brother Philip Arrhidaeus and Alexander’s infant son Alexander IV were nominal rulers of his kingdom after his death, but they wielded no real power. Both were eventually murdered. Alexander’s generals fought over the kingdom and eventually split it into four parts: (1) Greece and Macedon, ruled by Antigonus and, later, Cassander; (2) Thrace and Asia Minor, ruled by Lysimachus; (3) Syria and Mesopotamia, ruled by Seleucus I Nicator; and (4) Egypt and Palestine, ruled by Ptolemy I Soter. (CC)

**11:5** *king of the South.* Ptolemy I Soter (323–285 b.c.) of Egypt. (CSB)

 *one of his commanders.* Seleucus I Nicator (311–280). (CSB)

 *his own kingdom.* Initially Babylonia, to which he then added extensive territories both east and west. (CSB)

Shortly after the death of Alexander the Great in 323 BC, Ptolemy I was made satrap of Egypt and later took the title “king.” In 11:5 he is called “the king of the south.” In 321 BC, Seleucus I was designated the satrap of Babylon. But when attacked by Antigonus in 316 BC, Seleucus fled to Egypt, where Ptolemy made him one of his generals. In 312 Ptolemy and Seleucus defeated Antigonus at Gaza. Seleucus returned to Babylon and later took the title “king.” After Antigonus was killed in battle at Ipsus in 301, Seleucus gradually took control of Antigonus’ territory, which he expanded into a greater kingdom than Ptolemy’s. (CC)

**11:6** *daughter of the king of the South.* Berenice, daughter of Ptolemy II Philadelphus (285–246 b.c.) of Egypt. (CSB)

 *king of the North.* Antiochus II Theos (261–246) of Syria. (CSB)

 *alliance.* A treaty cemented by the marriage of Berenice to Antiochus. (CSB)

 *she will not retain her power, and he … will not last.* Antiochus’s former wife, Laodice, conspired to have Berenice and Antiochus put to death. *her father.* Berenice’s father Ptolemy died at about the same time. (CSB)

Succeeding Ptolemaic and Seleucid kings fought each other in attempts to expand and defend their kingdoms. About 250 BC, Ptolemy II agreed to a peace treaty with Antiochus II. Part of the treaty involved a marriage alliance: Berenice, Ptolemy’s daughter, married Antiochus, who thereby was forced to leave his first wife, Laodice. Berenice’s offspring was to become the next Seleucid ruler. However, in 246 BC, Antiochus took back Laodice, but died shortly thereafter, allegedly poisoned by Laodice. She also arranged for the murder of Berenice and her child to insure her son’s succession to the throne as Seleucus II. Many of Berenice’s attendants who came from Egypt also perished, and her father died that same year. This is all accurately predicted by 11:6: “She [Berenice] will be given up—she, those who brought her [her attendants], the one who fathered her [Ptolemy II], and the one who strengthened/supported her during the times [Antiochus II].” Since the Hiphil (H) of בּוֹא usually means “to bring,” the Hiphil participle וּמְבִיאֶ֙יהָ֙ literally means “those who brought her” (BKJV) but some translations render it as “her attendants” (RSV, ESV) or “her royal escort” (NIV) to link the wording of the prediction more closely to its fulfillment. (CC)

**11:7** *One from her family line.* Berenice’s brother, Ptolemy III Euergetes (246–221 b.c.) of Egypt, who did away with Laodice. (CSB)

 *king of the North.* Seleucus II Callinicus (246–226 b.c.) of Syria. (CSB)

 *his fortress.* Either (1) Seleucia (see Ac 13:4), which was the port of Antioch, or (2) Antioch itself. (CSB)

Berenice’s brother Ptolemy III succeeded their father, Ptolemy II. To retaliate for the murder of his sister Berenice, Ptolemy III invaded Syria, captured the Syrian capital of Antioch, and campaigned far to the east (11:7). He captured Laodice and executed her. Ptolemy captured many spoils of war, including the idols that the Persian king Cambyses had carried off from Egypt to Persia in 525 BC. (CC)

**11:8** *their gods.* Images of Syrian deities, and also of Egyptian gods that the Persian Cambyses had carried off after conquering Egypt in 525 b.c. (CSB)

Thus he took “captive to Egypt their gods” (11:8). The mention of Egypt as the destination of the spoils identifies the king of the south as a Ptolemaic king. The Egyptians gave Ptolemy the name Euergetes, “benefactor,” because he returned their idols. However, Ptolemy was unable to solidify his control over Seleucid territory because he had to return to Egypt to deal with a rebellion. Therefore, Seleucus II was able to reestablish his authority. (CC)

**11:9** WILL RETREAT **–** Two years later, in 242 BC, Seleucus attempted to invade Egypt, but was unsuccessful (11:9). (CC)

**11:10** *His sons.* Seleucus III Ceraunus (226–223 b.c.) and Antiochus III (the Great) (223–187), sons of Seleucus II. (CSB)

 *his fortress.* Ptolemy’s fortress at Raphia in southern Palestine. (CSB)

Seleucus II was succeeded by his son Seleucus III, who reigned only three years before being murdered during a military campaign in 223 BC. He was succeeded by his brother Antiochus III, who became known as “the Great” because of his military success. At first he had to suppress rebellions in Media and Asia Minor. When the weak Ptolemy IV became the southern king in 221, Antiochus saw an opportunity to regain territory in Syria that his father had lost to the southern kingdom. In 219–218, Antiochus campaigned in Syria and Palestine. Palestine had been under the control of Egypt until this time. This is probably what is meant that Antiochus was “stirred up as far as his [the king of the south’s] fortress” (cf. “the temple fortress” in 11:31). (CC)

**11:11** *king of the South.* Ptolemy IV Philopator (221–203 b.c.) of Egypt. (CSB)

 *king of the North.* Antiochus III. (CSB)

 *defeated.* At Raphia in 217. (CSB)

In 217 BC, in response to Antiochus’ military actions, Ptolemy launched a counterattack. The decisive battle was fought at Raphia in Palestine. Polybius reports that the Egyptian forces numbered “seventy thousand infantry, five thousand cavalry, and seventy-three elephants” while the Syrians had “sixty-two thousand infantry, six thousand cavalry, and one hundred and two elephants.” Despite the “great army” (11:11) raised by Antiochus, he was soundly defeated, losing seventeen thousand troops as opposed to Ptolemy’s loss of twenty-two hundred troops. Thus “he [the king of the north] will raise up a great army, but the army will be given into his [the king of the south’s] hand” (11:11). Ptolemy regained Palestine and southern Syria, but did not press his advantage. (CC)

**11:12** *slaughter many thousands.* The historian Polybius records that Antiochus lost nearly 10,000 infantrymen at Raphia. (CSB)

Ptolemy returned to Egypt where he “abandoned all noble pursuits and gave himself up to the life of debauchery,” fulfilling the prophecy that “his heart will become arrogant” (11:12). (CC)

**11:13** KING OF THE NORTH – Antiochus campaigned in the eastern part of his empire from 212 to 205 BC. Then in 204, Ptolemy IV and his queen died under mysterious circumstances. Sensing an opportunity to wrest territory away from the young Ptolemy V, who was only five years old, Antiochus attacked Egypt and took back southern Syria. The Egyptian general Scopas managed to drive Antiochus back for awhile. However, in a decisive battle at Paneas (called Caesarea Philippi in the NT) in 200, Antiochus gained control of southern Syria and Palestine. Neither would again come under Ptolemaic control. (CC)

**11:14** *king of the South.* Ptolemy V Epiphanes (203–181 b.c.) of Egypt. (CSB)

 *violent men among your own people.* Jews who joined the forces of Antiochus. (CSB)

 *without success.* The Ptolemaic general Scopas crushed the rebellion in 200. (CSB)

During the last years of Ptolemy IV and the early years of Ptolemy V, many provinces of the Egyptian kingdom rebelled or otherwise asserted a measure of independence (11:14). However, commentators disagree about which exact circumstances are described by the “violent men” who “lift themselves up to fulfill [this] vision.” It is known that during this time there were pro-Ptolemaic and pro-Seleucid parties among the elite Jews in Jerusalem. Perhaps the “violent men” are one or both of these parties as they vied for power during this time of transition. “They will stumble” may refer either to the conquest of Jerusalem by Antiochus, which would have brought punishment against the pro-Ptolemaic party of Jews, or to the previous subduing of the Jewish nation by Scopas, who may have punished the pro-Seleucid party. The “vision” to which 11:14 refers is this part of the last vision of Daniel (chapters 10–12). (CC)

**11:15** *fortified city.* The Mediterranean port of Sidon. (CSB)

After being defeated at Paneas, Scopas retreated to the city of Sidon, where Antiochus besieged him and forced his surrender in 198 BC (11:15). The phrase “his best troops” probably refers to Scopas’ Aeolian mercenaries. “There will be no strength to stand” may refer to the famine caused by the siege; the famine forced Scopas to surrender. (CC)

**11:16** *The invader.* Antiochus, who was in control of Palestine by 197 b.c. (CSB)

 WILL DO AS HE PLEASES – Antiochus, like Alexander (11:3), “will do as he pleases” (11:16) since he is now in complete control, having bested his enemies. This arrogance would lead to his downfall. His victory over Scopas led to permanent Seleucid control of all of Palestine, “the beautiful land” (11:16). He also seized coastal areas in Asia Minor and some of the Greek islands. (CC)

 *Beautiful Land.* See note on 8:9–12. (CSB)

**11:17** *he will give him a daughter in marriage.* Antiochus gave his daughter Cleopatra I in marriage to Ptolemy V in 194 b.c. (CSB)

Since Rome’s power was beginning to assert itself from the west, Antiochus did not invade Egypt. Instead, he entered into a marriage alliance by giving his daughter Cleopatra to Ptolemy V as his wife. Apparently, he hoped she would undermine Ptolemy’s realm from within; thus “he will give him a daughter of men to destroy it [the southern kingdom]” (11:17). However, Cleopatra became steadfastly loyal to her husband, so that Antiochus’ scheme was thwarted; thus “it [his plan] will not stand or be to his advantage” (11:17). (CC)

**11:18** *he.* Antiochus. (CSB)

 *coastlands.* Asia Minor and perhaps also mainland Greece. (CSB)

 *commander.* The Roman consul Lucius Cornelius Scipio Asiaticus, who defeated Antiochus at Magnesia in Asia Minor in 190 b.c. (CSB)

Antiochus turned to campaign in the west, taking islands in the Aegean and campaigning in Thrace in 196 BC, fulfilling 11:18. Rome warned him not to attack Greece itself, but Antiochus did not listen and did as he pleased, invading Greece in 192 BC. The Romans and their Greek allies defeated him at Thermopylae in 191. The Romans then drove him eastward and defeated him soundly at the Battle of Magnesia in 190. By this act, the Roman commander Lucius Cornelius Scipio fulfilled “a commander will put an end to his insolence. Moreover, he will repay him for his insolence” (11:18). In 188 BC, Antiochus was forced to accept the Treaty of Apamea in which he became a Roman vassal and was forced to send twenty hostages, including his son Antiochus IV, to Rome. (CC)

**11:19** *stumble and fall.* Antiochus died in 187 b.c. while attempting to plunder a temple in the province of Elymais. (CSB)

Having no options to campaign in the west, he turned eastward to Syria, Mesopotamia, and beyond (11:19). In 187, desperate for funds to pay his tribute to Rome, he attempted to pillage the temple of Zeus/Bel in Elymaïs. The local population rose up in indignation to defend the sanctuary, killing Antiochus and many of his followers. (CC)

**11:20** *His successor.* Seleucus IV Philopator (187–175 b.c.), son and successor of Antiochus the Great. (CSB)

 *tax collector.* Seleucus’s finance minister, Heliodorus. (CSB)

 *he will be destroyed.* Seleucus was the victim of a conspiracy engineered by Heliodorus. (CSB)

Antiochus III was followed on the throne by his son Seleucus IV. In order to secure more funds to pay the obligation to Rome inherited from his father, Seleucus IV sent his finance minister, Heliodorus, to Jerusalem in order to seize the wealth of the temple treasury. Thus Heliodorus is the “exactor of tribute” (11:20). 2 Maccabees 3 reports that Heliodorus was turned back by an attack of God’s angelic forces. Seleucus IV’s inconsequential reign ended in 175. He did not die in an angry attack of the populace, as had his father, nor in battle (11:20). Instead, he was poisoned by Heliodorus, who may have been in league with Seleucus IV’s brother, Antiochus IV. Antiochus IV was returning to Syria from Rome when his brother was killed. (CC)

**11:21** *contemptible person.* Seleucus’s younger brother, Antiochus IV Epiphanes (175–164 b.c.). (CSB)

The “contemptible person” (11:21) who took the place of Seleucus IV was his brother Antiochus IV Epiphanes. Antiochus had been a prisoner in Rome as required by the terms of the Treaty of Apamea. However, in late 176 or early 175, he was released in exchange for Seleucus IV’s elder son Demetrius. Antiochus IV was in Athens when his brother was murdered. With the assistance of Eumenes II of Pergamum, he acquired an army and marched eastward to Babylon. After the death of Seleucus IV, Heliodorus had briefly seized the throne even though Demetrius was the rightful heir. When Antiochus IV and his army arrived, Heliodorus fled. Antiochus took the throne, ostensibly as regent in place of his nephew Demetrius, and with his younger nephew Antiochus (an infant) as coregent. (The younger nephew was murdered in 170.) Thus “the majesty of the kingdom” was not rightfully conferred on Antiochus IV. Instead, he seized the throne “through intrigue” (11:21). (CC)

 *not been given the honor of royalty.* Antiochus seized power while the rightful heir to the throne, the son of Seleucus (later to become Demetrius I), was still very young. (CSB)

 *kingdom.* Syro-Palestine. (CSB)

**11:22** *prince of the covenant.* Either the high priest Onias III, who was murdered in 170 b.c., or, if the Hebrew for this phrase is translated “confederate prince,” Ptolemy VI Philometor (181–146) of Egypt. (CSB)

“A leader of a covenant” (11:22) refers to the Jewish high priest, the liturgical leader of God’s covenant people. However, the text leaves ambiguous which high priest is being described. The one who is “broken” in 11:22 is usually understood to be the high priest Onias III. Antiochus III had confirmed the Jewish right to internal self-government according to traditional Jewish laws. This meant that the high priest was the local ruler in Jerusalem. Antiochus IV, however, was at odds with Onias III because this high priest was opposed to the growing influence of Hellenism in Palestine. Soon after Antiochus IV took the throne, Onias’ brother Jason offered to Antiochus IV a large bribe along with the promise that he would pursue the Hellenization of Jerusalem. Antiochus accepted Jason’s offer, deposed Onias, and named Jason to be the high priest (2 Macc 4:7–10). In 172 BC, Menelaus, who was not from the traditional high-priestly family, offered Antiochus IV an even larger bribe and was made high priest instead of Jason (2 Macc 4:23–26). When Onias learned that Menelaus had stolen some of the gold vessels from the temple, he made a public protest (2 Macc 4:32–33). Then Onias took refuge in the sanctuary at Daphne near Antioch, but he was lured out of the sanctuary and murdered (2 Macc 4:34). Dan 11:22b–23 may refer to this incident. (CC)

**11:23** *he.* Antiochus. (CSB)

Thus “an alliance is made with him” (11:23) probably refers to either Jason or Menelaus (or both) making an agreement with Antiochus IV. “He will act deceitfully” (11:23) refers to Antiochus, whose treachery was evident in his dealings with three high priests: he deposed Onias, then deposed Jason, and wrongfully installed Menelaus. Then 11:23b–24 goes on to describe further outrages by Antiochus, who “will rise up and become powerful with a small nation.” The “small nation” consists of those Jewish people in Jerusalem and Judea who apostatized from God and the true faith, and aided and abetted Antiochus. (CC)

**11:24** *richest provinces.* Either of Palestine or of Egypt. (CSB)

 *fortresses.* In Egypt. (CSB)

Antiochus also devised “plans against strongholds, but [only] until a time” (11:24), which is probably a reference to his campaigns against Egypt, which are treated in more detail in the text that follows. (CC)

**11:25** *king of the South.* Ptolemy VI. (CSB)

**11:26** *his army.* That of Ptolemy. (CSB)

Antiochus undertook his first campaign against Egypt in 169 BC, probably provoked by aggression on the part of Ptolemy VI. While Ptolemy was a youth, his mother, Cleopatra, who was also Antiochus’ sister, acted as regent. When she died, Ptolemy came under the influence of two advisors, Eulaeus and Lenaeus. They gave him bad advice and encouraged him to attempt to wrest Palestine back from Seleucid power. Antiochus learned of the plan and prepared for battle at Pelusium on the Egyptian border. Ptolemy was captured as he attempted to flee, following the advice of his courtiers. Thus Ptolemy was not able to succeed, since word of his plans was leaked to his opponent. Counterplots were “plotted against him,” and in Antiochus’ victory over him “many slain people” fell (11:25–26). (CC)

**11:27** *two kings.* Antiochus and Ptolemy, who was living in Antiochus’s custody. (CSB)

Antiochus now controlled most of Egypt, except Alexandria, where the leaders declared Ptolemy’s younger brother Ptolemy VII to be king. Under the guise of support for Ptolemy VI’s rightful claim to the throne, Antiochus made an alliance with him, setting Ptolemy VI up as king in Memphis. Yet neither of these “two kings,” Ptolemy and Antiochus, intended to observe the terms of the treaty they made “at one table” (11:27). Each was using the other in an attempt to enhance his own control over Egypt. The alliance between Ptolemy VI and Antiochus unraveled when Ptolemy VI and Ptolemy VII were reconciled through the efforts of their sister Cleopatra II, and they became joint rulers of Egypt. Thus the “lie” between Ptolemy and Antiochus did “not succeed” (11:27). (CC)

**11:28** *against the holy covenant.* In 169 b.c. Antiochus plundered the temple in Jerusalem, set up a garrison there and massacred many Jews in the city. (CSB)

Antiochus was unable to capture Alexandria, so he stationed a garrison at Pelusium and returned to Syria (11:28). On his way, he stopped at Jerusalem, sacked the temple, and seized its valuables. (CC)

**11:30** *Ships of the western coastlands.* Roman vessels under the command of Popilius Laenas. (CSB)

God’s “appointed time” for the “end” (11:27) of Antiochus’ campaigns against Egypt came in 168 BC with Antiochus’ second invasion of Egypt. This invasion was not successful because of the intervention of a Roman fleet (“ships from Kittim,” 11:30), a hint of the fourth kingdom that will dominate the world. As Antiochus moved to attack Alexandria, a Roman delegation arrived in response to Egypt’s request for help. (CC)

The phrase “ships from Kittim” (11:30) is a deliberate reference to Balaam’s prophecy in Numbers 24. The phrasing is similar, and these are two of only four OT passages with this particular rare Hebrew noun (צִי) for “ship” (see the first textual note on 11:30). These are the only two passages in the Scriptures to connect “ships” with “Kittim”: (CC)

     •     וּבָ֨אוּ ב֜וֹ צִיִּ֤ים כִּתִּים֙, “ships from Kittim will come against him” (Dan 11:30).

     •     וְצִים֙ מִיַּ֣ד כִּתִּ֔ים, “ships [will come] from beside Kittim” (Num 24:24).

Balaam’s prophecy concerns a star rising out of Jacob and a scepter rising out of Israel (Num 24:17), a vision of the Messiah. In this prophecy uttered in 1407 BC, before mentioning the ships that will come from Kittim, Balaam asks the question “Who will be alive when God does this?” (Num 24:23), implying that from his standpoint it is in the distant future. Num 24:24 itself predicts that the ships from Kittim will afflict Assyria, a chief enemy of Israel during the first half of the first millennium BC, and the Kittim will also afflict the Hebrew people themselves, but the Kittim people will eventually be destroyed. By including the arrival of ships from Kittim, the divine man speaking to Daniel is hinting that the demise of the Greek domination of the Near East will be near its end when Antiochus IV reigns. He is also implying that the Messiah foreseen by Balaam will come shortly thereafter (in the era of the Kittim, the Roman era). This is the only hint of the Messiah in Daniel 11. (CC)

 *those who forsake the holy covenant.* Apostate Jews (see also v. 32). (CSB)

**11:31** *abomination that causes desolation.*† See 9:27; 12:11; an altar to the pagan god Zeus Olympius was set up in 168 b.c. by Antiochus Epiphanes (see note on Mt 24:15; see also Lk 21:20). (CSB)

Thus Antiochus began his suppression of the Jewish religion. Circumcision, possession of the Scriptures, sacrifices, feast days, and other practices were forbidden on penalty of death (1 Macc 1:41–51, 56–57). The ultimate act of desecration is described by the divine man as “the detested thing causing desolation” (Dan 11:31). 1 Maccabees describes the fulfillment of this prophecy: On 15 Kislev 167 (December 6, 167), “a detested thing of desolation” (βδέλυγμα ἐρημώσεως) was erected on the altar of the temple (1 Macc 1:54). Most likely this was an idol of Olympian Zeus (cf. 2 Macc 6:1–2). On 25 Kislev (December 16), sacrifices, probably including pigs, were offered on the altar (1 Macc 1:47, 59; 2 Macc 6:4). (CC)

**11:32** WHO HAVE VIOLATED THE COVENANT – “He will defile wicked men of a covenant” (Dan 11:32) refers to the high priest Menelaus and his followers who readily acquiesced to the abominable policies imposed by Antiochus. However, many Jews resisted this forced Hellenization. So the divine man says, “A people who know their God will be strong and take action” (11:32). The writer of 1 Maccabees understood the fulfillment of this prophecy as the resistance offered by many Jews: “But many in Israel stood firm and were resolved in their hearts not to eat unclean food. They chose to die rather than to be defiled by food or to profane the holy covenant; and they did die” (1 Macc 1:62–63 NRSV). (CC)

**11:33** *Those who are wise.*† Those who refused to violate the covenant God made with Israel (v. 32). (CSB)

 *fall by the sword or be burned or captured or plundered.* See Heb 11:36–38. (CSB)

**11:34** *a little help.* The early successes of the guerrilla uprising (168 b.c.) that originated in Modein, 17 miles northwest of Jerusalem, under the leadership of Mattathias and his son Judas Maccabeus. In December, 165, the altar of the temple was rededicated. (CSB)

**11:35** *time of the end.* See v. 40; 12:4, 9. Daniel concludes his predictions about Antiochus Epiphanes and begins to prophesy concerning the more distant future. (CSB)

The divine man’s words also give an indication as to God’s purpose in allowing the persecution: it will refine and purify the faithful with an eye to the true end that God has appointed for a future time (11:35). This future is ultimately the resurrection of all flesh (12:2–3). These people will be made white (11:35) like Christ himself (Rev 1:14) and God the Father (Dan 7:9). This imagery is taken up again in John’s vision of those who survive great tribulation and wash their robes and make them white in the blood of the Lamb (Rev 7:9, 13–14; cf. Rev 3:4–5, 18; 4:4; 6:11; 19:14). Thus the persecution of Antiochus ultimately served God’s purpose as it refined among the Jewish people those who would faithfully cling to his promise of deliverance in the Messiah, so that many would be looking for his coming (Mk 15:43; Lk 2:25–38; 3:15; 23:50–51; Jn 6:14) even as we look for his return (Titus 2:13; Jude 21). (CC)

*The King Who Exalts Himself*

**36 “The king will do as he pleases. He will exalt and magnify himself above every god and will say unheard-of things against the God of gods. He will be successful until the time of wrath is completed, for what has been determined must take place.  37 He will show no regard for the gods of his fathers or for the one desired by women, nor will he regard any god, but will exalt himself above them all.  38 Instead of them, he will honor a god of fortresses; a god unknown to his fathers he will honor with gold and silver, with precious stones and costly gifts.  39 He will attack the mightiest fortresses with the help of a foreign god and will greatly honor those who acknowledge him. He will make them rulers over many people and will distribute the land at a price. 40 “At the time of the end the king of the South will engage him in battle, and the king of the North will storm out against him with chariots and cavalry and a great fleet of ships. He will invade many countries and sweep through them like a flood.  41 He will also invade the Beautiful Land. Many countries will fall, but Edom, Moab and the leaders of Ammon will be delivered from his hand.  42 He will extend his power over many countries; Egypt will not escape.  43 He will gain control of the treasures of gold and silver and all the riches of Egypt, with the Libyans and Nubians in submission.  44 But reports from the east and the north will alarm him, and he will set out in a great rage to destroy and annihilate many.  45 He will pitch his royal tents between the seas at the beautiful holy mountain. Yet he will come to his end, and no one will help him.**

**1:36**† From here to the end of ch. 11 the antichrist (see notes on 7:8; 9:27) is in view. The details of this section do not fit what is known of Antiochus Epiphanes. What he would do to destroy God’s kingdom would in turn be prophetic of the raging of the antichrist at “the time of the end” (v. 35). See 2Th 2:4; cf. Rev 13:5–8. (CSB)

 EXALT AND MAGNIFY HIMSELF – An ominous note is sounded by the opening sentence, “the king will do as he pleases” (11:36). The identical wording for this arrogance (עָשָׂה, “do,” and כִּרְצוֹנוֹ, literally, “according to his pleasure”) was also used for the characteristic arrogance of the kingdom of Persia, represented by the ram (8:4), of Alexander the Great (11:3), and of Antiochus III (11:16). However, those statements came within the descriptions, but this is the very first statement about the eschatological king. He is chiefly characterized by his willful arrogance. Unlike those other kings, his arrogance is characterized as primarily religious in nature (11:36–39). This king will be a religious figure, and his power will be exercised in ways that pervert and profane what is godly, instead of challenging the geopolitical order as the other kings’ actions did. Antiochus IV was a foreshadowing of this eschatological king because Antiochus IV was the only one of the Hellenistic kings whose actions directly corrupted and prevented the biblically based worship of the God of Israel. However, the other acts of Antiochus IV mentioned in 8:9–14, 23–25 and 11:21–35 were primarily geopolitical in nature. (CC)

The main characteristic of this end-time king is that he elevates himself over every other god. To usurp the one true God, he speaks “wonderful things” (נִפְלָא֑וֹת)—ostensibly divine words—against God (11:36), making him the same as the little horn in the vision in Daniel 7 (7:25). This Niphal (N) participle occurs in Daniel only in 8:24 and 11:36 (see the textual notes on it in those verses). The Niphal feminine plural participle occurs a total of forty-four times in the OT. In thirty-nine of these instances, it is used as a noun for the wonderful, miraculous, salvific acts of God. In 8:24 it refers to God’s salvific actions through his OT means of grace, the temple and its liturgical rites. But here it refers to the Antichrist’s deceptive words, which are crafted to supplant God’s Word, through which salvation comes to his believing people. Clearly, this figure’s words against the true God are designed to replace the wonderful acts of God that redeem his people and which are God’s alone. (CC)

**11:37** *the one desired by women.*† Usually interpreted as Tammuz (see note on Eze 8:14). A more literal translation is “the desire of women,” i.e., the attraction men feel towards women. This “king” (v. 36) of the end time would be devoid of normal human sensibilities. (CSB)

The king will not favor normal human marital relations nor any god because he will make himself greater than all (11:37). His arrogance renders him incapable of the loving devotion that is required by both marriage and true piety. He personally is not married and does not rightly honor the one true God, and as a king, he imposes this disdain for marriage and this dishonorable view of God upon his subjects. (CC)

**11:39** MIGHTIEST FORTRESS – Therefore, this eschatological king will deal with other temporal threats (“fortified fortresses”) with the help of “a foreign god” (11:39), which is the “god of fortresses,” the god whom his fathers did not know (11:38). That is, instead of relying on God and his Word as a fortress to protect him—the means of grace, through which Christians find security and victory—he will rely upon temporal power to deal with the powers of this world. Therefore, he will honor those who acknowledge him and his power, and for that price he will give them power in this world over people and territory (11:39). (CC)

**11:40–45**† Conflicts to be waged between the antichrist and his enemies. He will meet his end at the “beautiful holy mountain” (v. 45), Jerusalem’s temple mount (see Eze 38–39; Joel 3:2, 12–16; Zec 14:3, 12). (CSB)

“The time of the end” is an expression that occurs four times in this vision (11:35, 40; 12:4, 9). Just as it was used in 8:17 to signify the time when the period prophesied in that vision would end, so it is also used here to indicate the end of the period covered by the divine man’s words. In the case of this vision, it is the end of the world at the return of Christ, since the timeframe of this vision ends with the resurrection (12:1–3). Therefore, 11:40–45 speaks of the end of the eschatological king immediately before the parousia of Christ, to be followed by the bodily resurrection. Thus Dan 11:40–45 is parallel to Rev 20:7–10, and Dan 12:1–3 is parallel to Rev 20:11–15. (CC)

The end is played out as a great military conflict. However, the words here are symbolic. There are several indications of this. First of all, Moab (11:41) had ceased to be an independent nation with a distinct identity after its conquest by Tiglath-pileser III in 733 BC. Already in Daniel’s day it no longer existed. Instead, it, along with Ammon and Edom, represents enemies of God’s people. Second, with the coming of the Roman Empire (the fourth kingdom predicted in Daniel, during whose era is the first advent of the Messiah), the kings of the north and the south, the Seleucid and Ptolemaic dynasties, ceased to exist. The rise of this fourth kingdom is hinted at in 11:30. Here in 11:40–45, the eschatological king assumes the identity of the king of the north, and his main rival is the king of the south. This is appropriate, since the last king of the north discussed in Daniel 11 was Antiochus IV, who foreshadows this eschatological king. (CC)

The final acts of the eschatological king are difficult to interpret in detail. Here we find ourselves in the same situation as Daniel himself (12:8): looking at a prophecy of the future and seeking to understand its interpretation. We must keep in mind that there are several indications that the words of the divine man in 11:40–45 are symbolic and metaphorical. Nevertheless, the general picture drawn in the revelation is clear:

1. The king will engage in a power struggle with a rival power and overcome him, appearing to be on the verge of a sweeping victory (11:40, 42–43).

2. This power struggle will affect God’s people. “The beautiful land” (11:41) is a metaphor for God’s people, the Christian church, the new Israel, residents of the “Jerusalem above” (Gal 4:26; see also Heb 12:22). Many will “stumble” (Dan 11:41). This verb was used earlier in Daniel 11 to refer to believers who were persecuted even to the point of martyrdom (see the third textual note on 11:14). Here these Christian believers will be willing to suffer death rather than fall away from the faith or the visible church because of the king’s actions. However, the king will spare enemies of the Gospel. “Edom, Moab, and the chief part of the Ammonites” (11:41) are metaphors for the theological enemies of Christ who contribute to the persecution of God’s people.

3. Something will alarm him, and he will turn to persecute many while strengthening his position as a religious figure among God’s people; “he will pitch his royal tents between the seas [the Mediterranean Sea and the Dead Sea] toward the beautiful holy mountain” (11:44–45).

4. This final act will not save him, and in the end, he will have no aid since no one can stand against God’s judgment (11:45; cf. 2 Thess 2:8). (CC)

More than this cannot be reliably determined because searching for a future fulfillment of a prophecy is not as easy as determining the way a prophecy was fulfilled after it has come to pass (cf. 1 Pet 1:10–12). (CC)